

# Draft Genome Sequence of the Grapevine Dieback Fungus *Eutypa lata* UCR-EL1

Barbara Blanco-Ulate,<sup>a,b</sup> Philippe E. Rolshausen,<sup>c</sup> Dario Cantu<sup>a</sup>

Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California—Davis, Davis, California, USA<sup>a</sup>; Department of Plant Sciences, University of California—Davis, Davis, California, USA<sup>b</sup>; Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, University of California—Riverside, Riverside, California, USA<sup>c</sup>

**The vascular pathogen *Eutypa lata*, which causes Eutypa dieback in grapevines, is a major threat to grape production worldwide. Here, we present the first draft genome sequence of *E. lata* (UCR-EL1). The computational prediction and annotation of the protein-coding genes of UCR-EL1 provide an initial inventory of its potential virulence factors.**

Received 25 March 2013 Accepted 19 April 2013 Published 30 May 2013

Citation Blanco-Ulate B, Rolshausen PE, Cantu D. 2013. Draft genome sequence of the grapevine dieback fungus *Eutypa lata* UCR-EL1. *Genome Announc.* 1(3):e00228-13. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00228-13.

Copyright © 2013 Blanco-Ulate et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

Address correspondence to Dario Cantu, [dacantu@ucdavis.edu](mailto:dacantu@ucdavis.edu).

**E**utypa dieback of grapevines is a wood disease caused by the ascomycete *Eutypa lata* (Pers.: Fr.) Tul. & C. Tul. (also known as *E. armeniaca* Hansf. and M. V. Carter) (1, 2). *E. lata* infections result in significant economical losses due to reduced yields, increased crop management costs, and shortened life span of the vines (3, 4).

*E. lata* enters the host through pruning wounds, colonizes the vascular tissues (1, 5), and gradually kills the plant by secreting phytotoxins (6, 7) and cell wall-degrading enzymes (8). Grape cultivars show differences in their susceptibilities to *E. lata* (9), but no resistant cultivars or completely effective management practices are available.

*E. lata* isolate UCR-EL1 was recovered from the margin of a grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* cv. “Cremson”) wood canker collected in Fresno County (California) in 2011. Fungal colony purification and species identification were performed as described by Rolshausen et al. (10). DNA was extracted using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (11), and 7.3 Gb of Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequence data was generated. Most (99.77%) of the 62.3 million quality-trimmed ( $Q \geq 30$ ) and contaminant-filtered reads were assembled using CLC Genomic Workbench v6.0; 2,334 scaffolds (3,322 contigs; median coverage,  $97\times$ ) with total length of 54.0 Mb ( $N_{50}$ , 68.3 kb;  $L_{50}$ , 238; gaps, 103 kb; G+C content, 46.6%) were assembled. Assembly parameters were optimized to achieve maximal assembly completeness of the gene space estimated using the Core Eukaryotic Genes Mapping Approach (CEGMA) analysis (12). By mapping 248 low-copy core eukaryotic genes (CEGs) (12), which are conserved across higher eukaryotes, the UCR-EL1 genome was estimated to be >97% complete.

Scaffolds were masked for repeats using RepeatMasker (13), and gene prediction was performed with the eukaryotic gene finder Augustus (14), trained using the gene models identified by CEGMA (12). A total of 11,818 complete protein-coding sequences were obtained, which is similar to the gene content of other ascomycetes (15, 16). Ninety-two percent of the predicted proteome was annotated based on its sequence homology to pro-

teins in the NCBI nonredundant (nr) database (BLASTp, e-value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ ). While these *ab initio*-discovered gene models need to be further curated and validated using empirical transcript data, they provide us with a first glimpse of the functions encoded in the *E. lata* genome. In agreement with the known capability of *E. lata* to degrade woody tissues (8), we found among the 1,224 potentially secreted proteins (SignalP v4.0 [17]) a rich repertoire of cell wall-degrading enzymes comprising 217 putative glycoside hydrolases annotated based on homology with proteins in the CAZy database (18). The most abundant CAZy families identified among the putative secreted proteome were GH61 (26 genes), GH43 (22 genes), and GH16 (17 genes). While GH61 enzymes enhance the breakdown of lignocellulosic material in combination with cellulolytic enzymes (19), GH43 and GH16 enzymes have hemicellulolytic activities. A large number of putative cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (205 genes), known to be involved in lignin oxidation, were also found, as is reported in other genomes of wood-rotting fungi (20–22).

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** This Whole-Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession no. [AORF000000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AORF000000000). The version described in this paper is the first version, accession no. [AORF010000000](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AORF010000000).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funding to D.C. from the College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences (UC Davis) and to P.E.R. from the College of Natural and Agricultural Sciences (UC Riverside). Support to B.B.U. was provided by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología, Costa Rica).

We thank Henriette O’Geen (UC Davis Genome Center) and Abraham Morales for their technical assistance.

## REFERENCES

1. Carter MV, Bolay A, English H, Rumbos I. 1985. Variation in the pathogenicity of *Eutypa lata* (*E. armeniaca*). *Aust. J. Bot.* 33:361–366.
2. Carter MV. 1991. The status of *Eutypa lata* as a pathogen. *Phytopathological paper no. 32*. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.

3. Siebert JB. 2001. *Eutypa*: the economic toll on vineyards. *Wines Vines* April:50–56.
4. Munkvold GP, Duthie JA, Marois JJ. 1994. Reductions in yield and vegetative growth of grapevines due to *Eutypa* dieback. *Phytopathology* 84:186–192.
5. Péros JP, Berger G. 1994. A rapid method to assess the aggressiveness of *Eutypa lata* isolates and the susceptibility of grapevine cultivars to *Eutypa* dieback. *Agronomie* 14:515–523.
6. Amborabé B-E, Fleurat-Lessard P, Bonmort J, Roustan J-P, Roblin G. 2001. Effects of eutypine, a toxin from *Eutypa lata*, on plant cell plasma membrane: possible subsequent implication in disease development. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 39:51–58.
7. Smith LR, Mahoney NE, Molyneux RJ. 2002. Synthesis and structure–phytotoxicity relationships of acetylenic phenols and chromene metabolites, and their analogues, from the grapevine pathogen *Eutypa lata*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 66:169–176.
8. Rolshausen PE, Greve LC, Labavitch JM, Mahoney NE, Molyneux RJ, Gubler WD. 2008. Pathogenesis of *Eutypa lata* in grapevine: identification of virulence factors and biochemical characterization of cordon dieback. *Phytopathology* 98:222–229.
9. Sosnowski MR, Lardner R, Wicks TJ, Scott ES. 2007. The influence of grapevine cultivar and isolate of *Eutypa lata* on wood and foliar symptoms. *Plant Dis.* 91:924–931.
10. Rolshausen PE, Mahoney NE, Molyneux RJ, Gubler WD. 2006. A reassessment of the species concept in *Eutypa lata*, the causal agent of *Eutypa* dieback of grapevine. *Phytopathology* 96:369–377.
11. Möller EM, Bahnweg G, Sandermann H, Geiger HH. 1992. A simple and efficient protocol for isolation of high molecular weight DNA from filamentous fungi, fruit bodies, and infected plant tissues. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20:6115–6116.
12. Parra G, Bradnam K, Ning Z, Keane T, Korf I. 2009. Assessing the gene space in draft genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37:289–297.
13. Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P. 2003, posting date. RepeatMasker. <http://repeatmasker.org>.
14. Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D. 2008. Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve *de novo* gene finding. *Bioinformatics* 24:637–644.
15. Amselem J, Cuomo CA, van Kan JA, Viaud M, Benito EP, Couloux A, Coutinho PM, de Vries RP, Dyer PS, Fillinger S, Fournier E, Gout L, Hahn M, Kohn L, Lapalu N, Plummer KM, Pradier JM, Quévillon E, Sharon A, Simon A, ten Have A, Tudzynski B, Tudzynski P, Wincker P, Andrew M, Anthouard V, Beaver RE, Beffa R, Benoit I, Bouzid O, Brault B, Chen Z, Choquer M, Collémare J, Cotton P, Danchin EG, Da Silva C, Gautier A, Giraud C, Giraud T, Gonzalez C, Grossetete S, Güldener U, Henrissat B, Howlett BJ, Kodira C, Kretschmer M, Lapartient A, Leroch M, Levis C, et al. 2011. Genomic analysis of the necrotrophic fungal pathogens *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Botrytis cinerea*. *PLoS Genet.* 7:e1002230.
16. Gao Q, Jin K, Ying S-H, Zhang Y, Xiao G, Shang Y, Duan Z, Hu X, Xie X-Q, Zhou G, Peng G, Luo Z, Huang W, Wang B, Fang W, Wang S, Zhong Y, Ma L-J, St. Leger RJ, Zhao G-P, Pei Y, Feng M-G, Xia Y, Wang C. 2011. Genome sequencing and comparative transcriptomics of the model entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *M. acridum*. *PLoS Genet.* 7:e1001264.
17. Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat. Methods* 8:785–786.
18. Cantarel BL, Coutinho PM, Rancurel C, Bernard T, Lombard V, Henrissat B. 2009. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZY): an expert resource for glycogenomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37:D233–D238.
19. Harris PV, Welner D, McFarland KC, Re E, Navarro Poulsen JC, Brown K, Salbo R, Ding H, Vlasenko E, Merino S, Xu F, Cherry J, Larsen S, Lo Leggio L. 2010. Stimulation of lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysis by proteins of glycoside hydrolase family 61: structure and function of a large, enigmatic family. *Biochemistry* 49:3305–3316.
20. Ichinose H, Wariishi H, Tanaka H. 2002. Identification and characterization of novel cytochrome P450 genes from the white-rot basidiomycete, *Coriolus versicolor*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 58:97–105.
21. Martinez D, Challacombe J, Morgenstern I, Hibbett D, Schmoll M, Kubicek CP, Ferreira P, Ruiz-Duenas FJ, Martinez AT, Kersten P, Hammel KE, Vanden Wymelenberg A, Gaskell J, Lindquist E, Sabat G, Bondurant SS, Larrondo LF, Canessa P, Vicuna R, Yadav J, Doddapaneni H, Subramanian V, Pisabarro AG, Lavín JL, Oguiza JA, Master E, Henrissat B, Coutinho PM, Harris P, Magnuson JK, Baker SE, Bruno K, Kenealy W, Hoegger PJ, Kües U, Ramaiya P, Lucas S, Salamov A, Shapiro H, Tu H, Chee CL, Misra M, Xie G, Teter S, Yaver D, James T, Mokrejs M, Pospisek M, Grigoriev IV, Brettin T, Rokhsar D, Berka R, Cullen D. 2009. Genome, transcriptome, and secretome analysis of wood decay fungus *Postia placenta* supports unique mechanisms of lignocellulose conversion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106:1954–1959.
22. Martinez D, Larrondo LF, Putnam N, Gelpke MD, Huang K, Chapman J, Helfenbein KG, Ramaiya P, Detter JC, Larimer F, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B, Berka R, Cullen D, Rokhsar D. 2004. Genome sequence of the lignocellulose degrading fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* strain RP78. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 22:695–700.